UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,787	10/05/2005	Hee Hyeok Hahm	123051-05016002	5698
22429 LOWE HALID	7590 01/25/2008	EXAM	INER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			TORRES, MARCOS L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/523,787	HAHM ET AL.			
Omee Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Marcos L. Torres	2617			
Period for Reply	gars on the cover sheet mith the t	79.1.50p 4.1.20.100 aaa.1000			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 O					
24,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x pane Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	00 O.G. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

10/523,787 Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1 and 3 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 and 11 of copending Application No. 10/530,020. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the claimed limitations are transparently found in the copending application with obvious wording variations. See

Application/Control Number:

10/523,787 Art Unit: 2617

the following table of comparing claim 1 of pending Application and the claim 1 of copending Application 10/530,020.

Co-pending Application 10/530,020	Application 10/523,787	
1. A method of providing a predetermined	A method of providing a predetermined	
sound as an RBT (RingBack Tone) in a	sound as an RBT (RingBack Tone) in a	
communication network, said method	communication network, comprising:	
comprising:		
an HLR (Home Location Register)	an HLR (Home Location Register)	
furnishing a call-originating exchanger with	furnishing a call-originating exchanger with	
information on whether or not an RBT is to	information on whether an RBT is to be	
be replaced for a called terminal through a	replaced or not and second information on	
response message to a location request	a route to sound database through a	
message received from the call-originating	response message to a location request	
exchanger that sends the location request	message received from the call-originating	
message to the HLR when a call	exchanger that sends the location request	
connection is requested by a caller to the	message to the HLR when a call	
called terminal;	connection is requested by a caller to the	
	called terminal;	
the call-originating exchanger searching	the conducted by the call-originating	
for a sound code assigned to the called	exchanger, requesting a first trunk	
terminal based on the information included	connection to a call-terminating exchanger	

Application/Control Number:

10/523,787 Art Unit: 2617

in the response message; and	and a separate, second trunk connection
	to the database based on the response
	including the first and the second
	information while furnishing the sound
	database with third information identifying
	the called terminal; and
the call-originating exchanger providing	the sound database, of selecting an RBT-
the caller with a pre-stored RBT-replacing	replacing sound based on the third
sound associated with the found sound	information, and providing the selected
code as an RBT while requesting a trunk	RBT-replacing sound to the caller through
connection to a call-terminating exchanger	the second trunk connection and the call-
associated with the called terminal based	originating.
on the response message.	
	3. The method of claim 1, wherein the
	sound database searches for the selected
	RBT-replacing sound specified for the
	called terminal through communication
	with a storage controller operating based
	on the internet protocol.

As shown above, Application 10/530,020 and co-pending Application 10/523,787 both are directed to a method of providing a predetermined sound as an RBT (RingBack

Art Unit: 2617

Tone) in a communication network. The different between the two applications is that claim 1 of co-pending application 10/523,787 does not specifically teach the limitation "the call-originating exchanger searching for a sound code assigned to the called terminal based on the information included in the response message". However, claim 1 of co-pending Application 10/523,787 teaches "second information informing a route to sound providing means" and "furnishing the sound providing means with information identifying a called", and claim 3 of co-pending Application 10/523,787 teaches "the sound providing means searches for the selected RBT-replacing sound specified for the called" such that it obviously includes a searching step as recited in Application 10/530,020. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize the claimed subject matter of Application 10/530,020 is not patentably distinct from the subject matter claimed in co-pending Application 10/523,787.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-18 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double 4. patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4 and 6-10 of U.S. Patent No. 7.242.757. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed limitations are transparently found in the copending application with obvious wording variations. See the following table of comparing claim 1 of pending Application and the claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,242,757. Application/Control Number: 10/523,787 Art Unit: 2617

Application 10/523,787	US Patent No. 7,242,757	
1. A method of providing a predetermined	A method of providing an arbitrary	
sound as an RBT (RingBack Tone) in a	sound as an RBT (RingBack Tone) in a	
communication network, said method	communication network, comprising:	
comprising:		
an HLR (Home Location Register)	a first step, conduct by an HLR (Home	
furnishing a call-originating exchanger with	Location Register) furnishing a call-	
information on whether an RBT is to be	originating exchanger with information on	
replaced or not and second information on	whether or not an RBT is to be replaced	
a route to sound database through a	for a terminal through a response to a	
response message to a location request	location request message received from	
message received from the call-originating	the call-originating exchanger that sends	
exchanger that sends the location request	the location request message to the HLR	
message to the HLR when a call	when a call connection is requested to the	
connection is requested by a caller to the	called terminal;	
called terminal;		
the conducted by the call-originating	a second step, conducted by the call-	
exchanger, requesting a first trunk	originating exchanger, of requesting a	
connection to a call-terminating exchanger	trunk connection to a call-terminating	
and a separate, second trunk connection	exchanger based on the response, and	
to the database based on the response	further requesting another trunk	

Application/Control Number:

10/523,787 Art Unit: 2617

including the first and the second	connection to a sound providing means
information while furnishing the sound	based on the information with reference to
database with third information identifying	preset routing information to the sound
the called terminal; and	providing means while furnishing the
	sound providing means with information to
	identify the terminal;
the sound database, of selecting an RBT-	a third step, conducted by the sound
replacing sound based on the third	providing means, of selecting an RBT-
information, and providing the selected	replacing sound based on the called
RBT-replacing sound to the caller through	identifying information, and providing the
the second trunk connection and the call-	selected RBT-replacing sound for a caller
originating.	through the call-originating exchanger the
	trunk connection is made to.
	1

As shown above, Application 10/523,787 and U.S. Patent No. 7,242,757 both are directed to a method of providing a predetermined sound as an RBT (RingBack Tone) in a communication network. The different between the two applications is that claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,242,757 does not specifically teach the limitation "the call-originating exchanger searching for a sound code assigned to the called terminal based on the information included in the response message". However, claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,242,757 teaches "second information informing a route to sound providing means" and "further requesting another trunk connection to a sound providing means based on

10/523,787

Art Unit: 2617

the information with reference to preset routing information to the sound providing means while furnishing the sound providing means with information to identify the terminal", which obviously including a searching step as recited in Application 10/530,020. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize the claimed subject matter of Application 10/530,020 is not patentably distinct from the subject matter claimed in U.S. Patent No. 7,242,757. Furthermore, the claimed limitations recited in claim 3 are the same as claim 5 in Application 10/530,020, which is also similar to the claimed limitations as recited in claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 7,242,757.

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be mailed to:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

571-273-8300

for formal communication intended for entry, informal communication or draft communication; in the case of informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 10/523,787 Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcos L. Torres whose telephone number is 571-272-7926. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-6:00 PM alt. Wednesday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-252-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marcos L Torres Examiner Art Unit 2617

mlt